

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/500,603	OOSAWA ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>		
Devin Hanan	3745		

**All Participants:**

(1) Devin Hanan.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Dave Spaw (No. 34,732).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 March 2006

**Time:** 11AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

9 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Liao et al. (U.S. Patent 6,540,479)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner pointed out how Liao et al. has all of the limitation found in claim 9. Agreement was reached to combine claim 9 and claim 10 to overcome the rejection. Changes are found in supplemental amendment filed 3/16/2006.

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)